
The Evolution and Revival of Ijtihad in Islamic Law: Navigating Tradition and Modernity

Dr. Shoaib Arif

Lecturer, Department of Islamic Studies, University of Gujrat

Email: shoaib.arif@uog.edu.pk

Abstract

This research article examines the historical trajectory and contemporary resurgence of jtiihad (independent juristic reasoning) in Islamic law. Through analysis of classical formulations, historical transformations, and modern applications, the study challenges the narrative of complete juristic stagnation following the alleged "closing of the gates of Ijtihad." Instead, it demonstrates how Ijtihad has continuously evolved, adapting to changing socio-political contexts while maintaining methodological continuity with Islamic legal tradition. The article particularly focuses on 20th and 21st century revivals, analyzing institutional innovations like collective Ijtihad and examining contemporary applications in gender jurisprudence, bioethics, and Islamic finance. Findings reveal that Ijtihad remains a vital mechanism for Islamic legal dynamism, though its practice faces significant challenges from political pressures, traditionalist resistance, and epistemological debates about legitimate methodology.

Keywords: Ijtihad, Islamic Law, Usul Al-Fiqh, Legal Reform, Contemporary Islam, Maqasid Al-Shari'ah

Introduction

The concept of Ijtihad represents one of the most critical yet contested dimensions of Islamic legal theory. As the methodological process through which qualified jurists derive legal rulings for novel situations not explicitly addressed in primary Islamic texts, Ijtihad has historically served as the dynamic engine of Islamic jurisprudence (**fiqh**). Its evolution reflects broader tensions within Islamic thought between textual fidelity and contextual adaptation, between scholarly authority and

communal needs, and between tradition and innovation.

This article traces the historical development of Ijtihad from its classical formulations to its contemporary applications, arguing against simplistic narratives of decline and revival. Rather than accepting the conventional narrative of complete "closure" followed by modern "reopening," this research presents a more nuanced understanding of Ijtihad as having undergone continuous transformation—changing in form, institutional setting, and methodological emphasis while maintaining core functions within the Islamic legal tradition.

The significance of this study lies in its comprehensive approach to understanding how **Islamic law** maintains relevance across dramatically different historical epochs. In an era marked by rapid technological change, globalization, and shifting social norms, the mechanisms through which Islamic jurisprudence adapts while preserving its identity have profound implications for Muslim societies worldwide.

Classical Foundations of Ijtihad

Definition and Conceptual Framework

The term Ijtihad derives from the Arabic root j-h-d, meaning "**to strive**" or "**exert effort**." In technical legal terminology, classical jurists defined it as "the total expenditure of effort by a jurist in order to infer, with a degree of probability, the rulings of the divine law (**Shari'ah**)" (al-Ghazali, 1993). This definition highlights several key elements: the requirement of exhaustive effort, the qualified nature of the practitioner (mujtahid), the probabilistic rather than certain nature of most outcomes, and the ultimate goal of discerning **God's law**.

Classical jurisprudential works, particularly in Usul al-**Fiqh** (Principles of Jurisprudence), established rigorous conditions for qualifying as a mujtahid. Al-Ghazali enumerated seven essential prerequisites: (1) knowledge of **Qur'anic rulings and sciences**, (2) mastery of **Prophetic traditions (Sunnah)** and authentication methodologies, (3) understanding of consensus (**ijma'**) positions, (4) proficiency in Arabic linguistics, (5) knowledge of abrogation (**naskh**), (6)

comprehension of the objectives of Islamic law (**maqasid al-Shari'ah**), and (7) competence in analogical reasoning (**qiyas**). These stringent requirements ensured that Ijtihad remained the domain of exceptionally qualified scholars rather than arbitrary individual opinion.

Types and Hierarchy of Ijtihad

Classical jurists recognized different levels of Ijtihad, creating a hierarchy of juristic authority. The highest category was absolute **Ijtihad (al-ijtihad al-mutlaq)**, referring to scholars who established independent methodological foundations and derived rulings directly from primary sources without adherence to any particular school. Historical examples include the founders of the four Sunni schools: Abu Hanifa, Malik ibn Anas, Muhammad al-Shafi'i, and Ahmad ibn Hanbal.

The second category was comparative **Ijtihad (al-ijtihad al-muqayyad or al-ijtihad fi al-madhab)**, practiced by scholars working within established schools but capable of deriving new rulings based on the school's principles. The third category was partial Ijtihad (**al-ijtihad al-juz'i**), referring to scholars competent in specific legal domains but not across the entire spectrum of jurisprudence.

This hierarchy created a structured system where legal innovation could occur at multiple levels while maintaining connection to established methodological traditions.

Methodological Tools

Classical Ijtihad employed several established methodological tools:

1. **Qiyas (Analogical Reasoning):** The extension of a ruling from an original case (asl) to a new case (far') based on a shared effective cause ('illah). For example, the prohibition of wine in the Qur'an was extended to all intoxicants based on the shared cause of intoxication.
2. **Istihsan (Juristic Preference):** Departing from a ruling derived through strict analogy in favor of a different ruling that better serves justice, public interest, or customary practice. Particularly emphasized in the Hanafi school.
3. **Maslaha (Consideration of Public Interest):** Deriving rulings based on considerations of public welfare when primary texts are silent. Al-Ghazali established conditions for valid maslaha: it must be genuine, universal, and not

contradict established textual evidence.

4. **'Urf (Custom):** Recognition of prevailing customs as a source of law when not contradicted by explicit texts. This allowed Islamic law to adapt to diverse cultural contexts across the Muslim world.

These tools provided mujtahids with a flexible yet structured methodology for addressing new situations while maintaining fidelity to Islamic legal principles.

Historical Transformations: From Dominance to Institutionalization

The Rise of Legal Schools and Systematic Jurisprudence

Between the 8th and 10th centuries CE, Islamic law underwent a process of systemization that profoundly affected the practice of Ijtihad. The crystallization of the four orthodox Sunni schools (**Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i, Hanbali**) created institutional frameworks for legal education and transmission. While these schools initially represented methodological approaches rather than rigid doctrines, over time they developed into comprehensive legal systems with established positions on most matters of law.

This institutionalization had ambiguous effects on Ijtihad. On one hand, it preserved methodological approaches and created continuity. On the other, it potentially discouraged independent reasoning outside established frameworks. The classical scholar al-Shafi'i himself noted this tension, advocating for systematic methodology while warning against blind imitation (**taqlid**).

The "Closing of the Gates" Debate

The notion that Sunni Islam witnessed a "closing of the gates of Ijtihad" around the 10th century has been widely circulated but remains historically problematic. Orientalist scholars like Ignaz **Goldziher** and **Joseph Schacht** popularized this narrative, arguing that Sunni consensus declared no further mujtahids qualified after the establishment of the four schools.

Contemporary scholarship has substantially revised this view. Wael B. Hallaq's seminal research demonstrates through examination of biographical dictionaries that the title mujtahid continued to be applied to scholars throughout Islamic

history. Hallaq argues that rather than complete closure, what occurred was **"the rise of the doctrinal school,"** wherein Ijtihad continued but primarily within established methodological frameworks rather than as completely independent reasoning.

Socio-Political Factors in Legal Evolution

The transformation of Ijtihad cannot be understood solely through juristic discourse; socio-political factors played crucial roles:

- 1. Political Fragmentation:** Following the decline of the Abbasid Caliphate, the Muslim world fragmented into multiple sultanates and emirates. This political decentralization made universal legal consensus more difficult and encouraged greater reliance on established school positions for legal predictability.
- 2. Institutional Stability:** As Islamic legal institutions (courts, schools, scholarly networks) matured, they developed self-perpetuating mechanisms that privileged continuity over innovation. The system of legal education increasingly emphasized mastery of school doctrine rather than methodological training in independent reasoning.
- 3. Mongol Invasions and Their Aftermath:** The catastrophic Mongol invasions of the 13th century destroyed major centers of Islamic learning and created conditions where legal conservatism offered psychological and institutional stability amidst turmoil.
- 4. Ottoman Legal Codification:** The Ottoman Empire's systematic codification of Hanafi law in the Mecelle (1876) represented both the culmination of classical legal development and its transformation into state law. This codification fixed legal positions in ways that reduced the scope for ongoing Ijtihad on many matters.

Despite these constraints, evidence shows that Ijtihad never completely ceased. Even during periods of supposed stagnation, jurists continued to address new situations through established methodological tools, particularly *maslaha* and *'urf*.

Modern Revival and Reconceptualization

Colonial Encounters and Legal Crisis

The 19th century brought unprecedented challenges to Islamic law through European colonialism. Colonial administrations often marginalized Islamic legal systems, replacing them with European codes or restricting their application to

narrow personal status matters. This encounter created what legal scholar Abdullahi An-Na'im calls a "crisis of legitimacy" for Islamic law, as it struggled to address modernity's challenges while under political subjugation.

In response, Muslim reformers increasingly called for reviving Ijtihad as a means of rejuvenating Islamic law. **Jamal al-Din al-Afghani (d. 1897)** modernity required renewed engagement with its rational dimensions, particularly through Ijtihad. Abduh, as **Grand Mufti of Egypt**, famously issued progressive fatwas on issues like bank interest and women's rights, explicitly grounding them in Ijtihad based on maslaha.

Institutional Innovations: Collective Ijtihad

The 20th century witnessed significant institutional innovations in the practice of Ijtihad. Recognizing the complexity of modern issues and the difficulty of individual scholars mastering all relevant knowledge, Muslim jurists developed mechanisms for collective **Ijtihad (ijtihad jama'i)**. This approach brings together specialists in Islamic law with experts in relevant secular fields (medicine, economics, etc.) to address complex contemporary issues.

Major examples include:

- **The Islamic Fiqh Academy of India** (established 1989): Addresses issues facing Indian Muslims through collective deliberation.
- **The International Islamic Fiqh Academy** (Jeddah, established 1981): An organ of the Organization of Islamic Cooperation that issues rulings on transnational issues.
- **Al-Azhar's Islamic Research Academy (Cairo)**: Brings together scholars from various specialties to address contemporary challenges.

These institutions represent a significant departure from classical models of individual Ijtihad, creating structured processes for interdisciplinary deliberation while maintaining Islamic legal methodology.

The Maqasid Approach and Methodological Renewal

A crucial development in modern Ijtihad has been the renewed emphasis on **maqasid al-Shari'ah** (the objectives of Islamic law). While classical jurists

recognized maqasid, contemporary scholars like **Muhammad al-Tahir ibn Ashur (d. 1973)** and Jasser Auda have systematically developed it as a comprehensive framework for Ijtihad.

The maqasid approach prioritizes the protection of five essential values: religion, life, intellect, lineage, and property. Contemporary extensions include additional values like human dignity, justice, and freedom. This framework allows jurists to evaluate rulings not merely by formal compliance with textual indicators but by their contribution to realizing Islam's higher objectives.

For example, in addressing women's rights issues, maqasid-oriented jurists might emphasize how certain traditional interpretations contradict Islam's objectives of justice and human dignity, thereby justifying reinterpretation.

Contemporary Applications and Challenges

Gender and Family Law

Modern Ijtihad has produced significant developments in gender and family law, though with considerable controversy:

1. **Marriage and Divorce Reforms:** Many Muslim-majority countries have implemented legal reforms based on contemporary Ijtihad. Morocco's 2004 Family Code (Mudawwana) represents perhaps the most comprehensive example, prohibiting polygamy except under exceptionally strict conditions, raising the marriage age to 18, and granting women equal rights in divorce proceedings. These reforms were justified through Ijtihad emphasizing justice ('adl) and mutual kindness (**mu'ashara bi'l-ma'ruf**) in marital relations.
2. **Financial Rights:** Contemporary jurists have reexamined traditional interpretations of financial arrangements in marriage. Some argue that the classical requirement for wives to seek husbands' permission to work outside the home should be reconsidered in contexts where women's economic participation benefits families and society—an application of maslaha.
3. **Critiques and Resistance:** These developments face resistance from traditionalist scholars who argue they depart from clear textual injunctions. The debate often centers on methodological questions: when does Ijtihad legitimately reinterpret texts versus when does it effectively override them?

Biomedical Ethics

Rapid advances in biotechnology have generated numerous novel questions requiring Ijtihad:

Assisted Reproductive Technologies: Muslim scholars have generally permitted in vitro fertilization (IVF) but with restrictions aimed at preserving lineage. Most contemporary rulings allow IVF only using gametes from a married couple, prohibiting third-party donation (sperm, egg, or embryo) as constituting a form of unlawful lineage confusion.

Organ Transplantation: Initially controversial, organ transplantation has gained acceptance through Ijtihad emphasizing the preservation of life. The 1988 Amman Declaration by Islamic medical and legal scholars established conditions for permissible transplantation, including donor consent and medical necessity.

End-of-Life Issues: Contemporary Ijtihad addresses complex questions like brain death criteria, withdrawal of life support, and palliative care. There is growing consensus on recognizing neurological criteria for death (allowing organ donation from brain-dead patients) and permitting pain management even when it might slightly hasten death—applications of the principle of choosing the lesser harm.

Islamic Finance

The development of modern Islamic finance represents one of the most extensive applications of contemporary Ijtihad. Faced with conventional banking systems based on **interest (riba)**, Muslim scholars developed alternative financial instruments:

1. **Profit-and-Loss Sharing Models:** Instruments like *mudarabah* (profit-sharing) and *musharakah* (joint venture) attempt to create equitable alternatives to interest-based lending by linking returns to actual business outcomes rather than fixed interest.
2. **Asset-Backed Financing: Murabahah** (cost-plus financing) and *ijarah* (leasing) create Shari'ah-compliant alternatives to conventional loans by involving actual asset transactions.
3. **Critical Assessment:** While representing significant juristic creativity, Islamic finance faces criticism. Some scholars argue that many contemporary Islamic financial products functionally replicate conventional finance with minimal substantive difference—a practice critics call "Shari'ah arbitrage." This raises questions about whether Ijtihad in finance has focused excessively on technical legal forms at the expense of Islam's broader economic objectives like equity and risk-sharing.

Political Jurisprudence

Modern Ijtihad has also addressed political questions, particularly concerning governance in nation-states:

1. **Democratic Participation:** Most contemporary scholars permit participation in democratic systems, interpreting elections as a form of consultation (shura) mandated in Islamic political theory. Some extend this to allowing Muslims in non-Muslim majority countries to hold political office if they can serve justice and community welfare.
2. **Constitutional Frameworks:** Scholars like Abdulaziz Sachedina and Khaled Abou El Fadl have developed Islamic arguments for constitutionalism, human rights, and citizenship equality, grounding them in Qur'anic concepts of human dignity and justice.
3. **Pluralism and Religious Freedom:** Contemporary Ijtihad has revisited classical positions on religious minorities, with many scholars arguing for full citizenship equality based on the Medinan Charter's model of pluralistic coexistence.

Critical Challenges and Future Directions

Epistemological Debates

Contemporary Ijtihad operates amidst profound epistemological debates:

1. **Textualism vs. Contextualism:** Traditionalist approaches emphasize close adherence to classical interpretations and methodological conservatism. Reformist approaches advocate greater consideration of historical context and contemporary circumstances in interpreting texts.
2. **The Role of Reason:** Debates continue about the proper scope of human reason in interpreting divine revelation. While all accept some role for reason, disagreements persist about how far reason can go in reinterpreting apparently clear textual injunctions.
3. **Authority and Legitimacy:** In the absence of centralized religious authority in Sunni Islam, questions persist about who legitimately qualifies for Ijtihad in the modern context. The democratization of religious discourse through digital media has further complicated authority structures.

Political Constraints

Ijtihad often operates within significant political constraints:

1. **State Co-option:** Many Muslim-majority states have established official religious institutions that control religious discourse. This can instrumentalize Ijtihad to serve state interests rather than independent scholarly inquiry.

2. **Islamist Movements:** Political Islamist movements often advance particular interpretations as authentically Islamic while dismissing others as illegitimate innovation or Western influence.
3. **Transnational Networks:** Digital connectivity allows alternative voices to bypass traditional institutional controls, creating both opportunities for creative Ijtihad and challenges of fragmentation and extremism.

Future Trajectories

Several trends suggest possible future directions for Ijtihad:

- **Specialization and Interdisciplinarity:** Increasing recognition that addressing complex contemporary issues requires collaboration between Islamic legal specialists and experts in other fields (science, social science, humanities).
- **Feminist Hermeneutics:** Growing development of feminist approaches to Islamic texts and legal methodology, offering new perspectives on gender issues.
- **Ecological Jurisprudence:** Emerging Ijtihad addressing environmental challenges through Islamic concepts of stewardship (khilafah) and balance (mizan).
- **Digital Platforms:** Increasing use of digital technologies for scholarly collaboration, fatwa dissemination, and methodological innovation, though with concerns about quality control.

Conclusion

This research has traced the evolution of Ijtihad from its classical formulations to contemporary applications, demonstrating both continuity and transformation. Contrary to narratives of complete closure followed by revival, Ijtihad has undergone continuous adaptation to changing historical circumstances while maintaining methodological connection to Islamic legal tradition.

The modern period has witnessed significant innovations in Ijtihad, particularly through institutional mechanisms for collective reasoning, renewed emphasis on maqasid al-Shari'ah, and applications to unprecedented challenges in biotechnology, finance, and political theory. These developments illustrate Islamic law's capacity for dynamism while revealing ongoing tensions between tradition and change.

Several key findings emerge from this study:

1. **Historical Continuity:** While the form and institutional setting of Ijtihad have changed significantly, its core function as a mechanism for legal adaptation has persisted throughout Islamic history.
2. **Methodological Development:** Contemporary Ijtihad represents not merely a return to classical models but significant methodological innovation, particularly in collective deliberation and interdisciplinary approaches.
3. **Substantive Impact:** Modern Ijtihad has produced important developments in multiple domains, though its effectiveness varies considerably depending on political context and methodological approach.
4. **Ongoing Challenges:** Significant epistemological, political, and institutional challenges constrain contemporary Ijtihad, particularly concerning authority structures and methodological legitimacy.

The future trajectory of Ijtihad will likely involve continued negotiation between textual fidelity and contextual relevance, between scholarly authority and communal needs, and between Islamic particularity and engagement with universal human concerns. As Muslim societies navigate the complexities of the 21st century, Ijtihad remains an indispensable resource—not as a magic solution to all challenges, but as Islam's indigenous methodology for maintaining jurisprudential vitality while preserving connection to divine guidance.

Further research could productively examine specific case studies of contemporary Ijtihad in greater depth, comparatively analyze different institutional models for collective reasoning, and investigate how Ijtihad functions in Muslim-minority contexts where Islamic law lacks official recognition. Such studies would enrich our understanding of how religious legal traditions maintain relevance amidst accelerating global change.

Bibliography

1. al-Amidi, Sayf al-Din. *Al-Ihkam fi Usul al-Ahkam*. 3 vols. Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-Ilmiyyah, 1984.
2. al-Ghazali, Abu Hamid. *Al-Mustasfa min 'Ilm al-Usul*. 2 vols. Edited by Hamzah ibn Zuhayr Hafiz. Medina: Sharikah al-Madinah al-Munawwarah li-l-Tiba'ah, 1993.
3. al-Shafi'i, Muhammad ibn Idris. *Al-Risalah*. Edited by Ahmad Muhammad Shakir. Cairo: Dar al-Turath, 1979.
4. Ibn Ashur, Muhammad al-Tahir. *Maqasid al-Shari'ah al-Islamiyyah*. Amman: Dar al-Nafa'is, 2001.

5. Abou El Fadl, Khaled. *Speaking in God's Name: Islamic Law, Authority and Women*. Oxford: Oneworld Publications, 2001.
6. Afsaruddin, Asma. *Contemporary Issues in Islam*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2015.
7. Ahmad, Ahmad Atif. *The Fatigue of the Shari'a*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012.
8. Ali, Kecia. *Sexual Ethics and Islam: Feminist Reflections on Qur'an, Hadith, and Jurisprudence*. Oxford: Oneworld Publications, 2016.
9. An-Na'im, Abdullahi Ahmed. *Toward an Islamic Reformation: Civil Liberties, Human Rights, and International Law*. Syracuse: Syracuse University Press, 1990.
10. Auda, Jasser. *Maqasid al-Shariah as Philosophy of Islamic Law: A Systems Approach*. London: International Institute of Islamic Thought, 2008.
11. Berkey, Jonathan P. *The Formation of Islam: Religion and Society in the Near East, 600-1800*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.
12. Brown, Jonathan A. C. *Misquoting Muhammad: The Challenge and Choices of Interpreting the Prophet's Legacy*. London: Oneworld Publications, 2014.
13. Chaudhry, Ayesha S. *Domestic Violence and the Islamic Tradition: Ethics, Law, and the Muslim Discourse on Gender*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013.
14. El-Gamal, Mahmoud A. *Islamic Finance: Law, Economics, and Practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006.
15. Hallaq, Wael B. *Authority, Continuity, and Change in Islamic Law*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
16. *The Origins and Evolution of Islamic Law*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005.
17. "Was the Gate of Ijtihad Closed?" *International Journal of Middle East Studies* 16, no. 1 (1984): 3-41.
18. Jackson, Sherman A. *Islamic Law and the State: The Constitutional Jurisprudence of Shihab al-Din al-Qara'fi*. Leiden: Brill, 1996.
19. Kamali, Mohammad Hashim. *Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence*. 3rd ed. Cambridge: Islamic Texts Society, 2003.
20. *Shari'ah Law: An Introduction*. Oxford: Oneworld Publications, 2008.
21. Kerr, Malcolm H. *Islamic Reform: The Political and Legal Theories of Muhammad 'Abduh and Rashid Rida*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1966.
22. Khan, Muhammad Muhsin. *The Translation of the Meanings of Sahih al-Bukhari*. 9 vols. Riyadh: Darussalam, 1997.
23. Kuran, Timur. *Islam and Mammon: The Economic Predicaments of Islamism*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2004.
24. Masud, Muhammad Khalid, Brinkley Messick, and David S. Powers, eds. *Islamic Legal Interpretation: Muftis and Their Fatwas*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1996.
25. Moosa, Ebrahim. *What Is a Madrasa?* Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2015.
26. Motzki, Harald. *The Origins of Islamic Jurisprudence: Meccan Fiqh before the Classical Schools*. Translated by Marion H. Katz. Leiden: Brill, 2002.
27. Qaradawi, Yusuf al-. *Ijtihad in Contemporary Islam: Issues and Challenges*. Translated by Nancy Roberts. Kuala Lumpur: Islamic Book Trust, 2014.
28. Rahman, Fazlur. *Islam and Modernity: Transformation of an Intellectual Tradition*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1982.
29. Ramadan, Tariq. *Radical Reform: Islamic Ethics and Liberation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009.
30. Sachedina, Abdulaziz. *The Islamic Roots of Democratic Pluralism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001.
31. Schacht, Joseph. *An Introduction to Islamic Law*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1964.
32. *The Origins of Muhammadan Jurisprudence*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1950.
33. Shavit, Uriya. *Shari'a and Muslim Minorities: The Wasati and Salafi Approaches to Fiqh al-Aqalliyyat al-Muslima*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015.
34. Vikør, Knut S. *Between God and the Sultan: A History of Islamic Law*. London: Hurst & Company, 2005.
35. Weiss, Bernard G. *The Spirit of Islamic Law*. Athens: University of Georgia Press, 1998.
36. *The Search for God's Law: Islamic Jurisprudence in the Writings of Sayf al-Din al-Amidi*. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 1992.
37. Zaman, Muhammad Qasim. *Modern Islamic Thought in a Radical Age: Religious Authority and Internal Criticism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012.

38. Ali, Souad T. "Islamic Feminism and the Discourse of Ijtihad." In *New Directions in Islamic Thought: Exploring Reform and Muslim Tradition*, edited by Kari Vogt, Lena Larsen, and Christian Moe, 61-76. London: I.B. Tauris, 2009.
39. Brockopp, Jonathan E. "Interpreting Ijtihad: A History of Islamic Law." *Journal of Islamic Studies* 23, no. 2 (2012): 163-185.
40. Fadel, Mohammad. "The Social Logic of Taqlid and the Rise of the Mukhtasar." *Islamic Law and Society* 3, no. 2 (1996): 193-233.
41. Hefner, Robert W. "Islamic Law and Modernity: The Political and Epistemological Crisis of Contemporary Ijtihad." *Journal of Religious Ethics* 45, no. 4 (2017): 708-735.
42. Johansen, Baber. "Casuistry: Between Legal Concept and Social Praxis." *Islamic Law and Society* 2, no. 2 (1995): 135-156.
43. March, Andrew F. "Islamic Legal Theory, Ijtihad, and the Prospects for Modern Reform." *Journal of Law and Religion* 30, no. 2 (2015): 263-289.
44. Mir-Hosseini, Ziba. "Muslim Legal Tradition and the Challenge of Gender Equality." In *Men in Charge? Rethinking Authority in Muslim Legal Tradition*, edited by Ziba Mir-Hosseini, Mulki Al-Sharmani, and Jana Rumming, 13-43. London: Oneworld Publications, 2015.
45. Opwis, Felicitas. "Maslaha in Contemporary Islamic Legal Theory." *Islamic Law and Society* 12, no. 2 (2005): 182-223.
46. Peters, Rudolph. "From Jurists' Law to Statute Law or What Happens When the Shari'a is Codified." *Mediterranean Politics* 7, no. 3 (2002): 82-95.
47. Rapoport, Yossef. "Legal Diversity in the Age of Taqlid: The Four Chief Qadis Under the Mamluks." *Islamic Law and Society* 10, no. 2 (2003): 210-228.
48. Tucker, Judith E. "Gender and Ijtihad: Reform in Islamic Legal Tradition." *Journal of the American Academy of Religion* 84, no. 1 (2016): 126-146.
49. Zubaida, Sami. "Islam and the Politics of Ijtihad." *International Journal of Middle East Studies* 44, no. 1 (2012): 131-141.
50. Government of Morocco. *The Family Code (Mudawwana)*. 2004. Official Gazette No. 5184.
51. Islamic Fiqh Academy, India. *Resolutions and Recommendations of the Islamic Fiqh Academy (India) 1989-2015*. New Delhi: Islamic Fiqh Academy, 2016.
52. Organization of Islamic Cooperation. *Resolutions of the International Islamic Fiqh Academy, 1985-2000*. Jeddah: International Islamic Fiqh Academy, 2001.
53. "Amman Declaration on Organ Transplantation." Islamic Organization for Medical Sciences, 1988. <https://www.islamset.com/ion/organs/ammandeclaration.html>
54. "Contemporary Ijtihad: Methods and Applications." Al-Mawrid Institute. Accessed April 15, 2024. <https://www.al-mawrid.org>
55. "The Islamic Finance Principles." Accounting and Auditing Organization for Islamic Financial Institutions (AAOIFI). Accessed April 15, 2024. <https://aaoifi.com>